

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/954,875	09/12/2001	Heinz Gernhardt	011881-1940	8266
7590 10/21/2003			EXAMINER	
George M. Thomas			NGUYEN, JOHN QUOC	
Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, LLP Suite 1750			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 Galleria Parkway, NW			3654	
Atlanta, GA 3	0339-5948	DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

•
1
C
•
•
•
•
•
_
Ē
-
Ċ
_
÷
-

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/954,875	GERNHARDT ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		John Q. Nguyen	3654				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply in period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	<u> August 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
· .	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application	,					
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 24 is/are withd	nawn from consideration.					
\ <u></u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-23 and 25-30</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept		miner				
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) 🗆 :	The proposed drawing correction filed on						
٠٠/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		Tou by the Examiner.				
12) 🗌 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
•—	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
			- <del>,</del> (- <del>,</del> (-)				
/-	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio						
* 5	application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
	) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language $\operatorname{pro}$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.4.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/954,875

Art Unit: 3654

Applicant's election without traverse of the species of fig. 2, claims 1-3, 5-23, and 25-30, in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

Claims 4 and 24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 6.

Claims 1-3, 5-23, and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For clarity and/or definiteness, it appears that "bending bar" (claims 5, 6, 25, 26) should be --sensor--.

The following are not clear: "said bobbin material is sensitive" (claim 14, 19).

All claims should be revised carefully to correct all other deficiencies similar to the ones noted above. The non-elected claims should also be similarly corrected at the same time so that the application can be allowed without delay should the generic claims become allowable.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/954,875

Art Unit: 3654

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 14-16, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Grundy et al (US 4546656).

Grundy et al discloses an apparatus having substantially all the claimed features including arm 20, roller 44, sensors/extensometers 28, 30, 22, 24 located in a "nominal bending portion", tubular housing 10 with stops 50 (fig. 2). The winding spindle and a drive are deemed inherent (see at least column 1, lines 14-17). It is not deemed that other elements are contacted in between since this appears to be the object of the invention (see column 1) or, alternatively, whether or not other elements (other than tension measurement equipments) are contacted in between the feeding apparatus and the roller would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person having ordinary skill in the art based on factors such as operational preference, design criteria, and space optimization.

Claims 9-12, 17, 18, 20-23, 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grundy et al (US 4546656).

That the stops are adjustable to adjust the amount of maximum deflection would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person having ordinary skill in the art based on factors such as preference and design criteria, as the provision of adjustability where desired would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art.

'Application/Control Number: 09/954,875

Art Unit: 3654

Relative to claim 20, duplication of parts for a multiplied effect would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 13, and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grundy et al (US 4546656) in view of Canfield (US 3526130).

Canfield discloses another similar apparatus in which a traversing apparatus 33, which is old and well known in the art, is provided to distribute the strands along the winding spindle. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide the apparatus of Grundy et al with a traversing apparatus as taught by Canfield to distribute the strands along the winding spindle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2689. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Matecki, can be reached on (703) 308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

2LQ. Vyn

John Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3654